Rule Base Arbitration

Proposal of policy manager from real product

DENSO CORPORATION
Advanced Driver Information Technology Corporation
Introduction

• Kenji Hosokawa
• HMI developer for IVI at Denso since 2005
• Graphics developer at ADIT since 2017
  • Wayland/Weston, Video input, GPU driver, DRM/KMS, ...

• Advanced Driver Information Technology
• Established in 2003
• Joint venture Denso and Bosch
• Produce IVI Platform for both MCs
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What is the Rule Base Arbitration?

When several information for driver (Content) needs to be notified at the same time, RBA decides which content is prioritized.
Background

- Issue of legacy technology:
  - Limit of status transition and Matrix
  - Contents are increased in every model.
  - Huge effort is needed for spec change.
  - Huge maintenance effort is needed due to existing spec is unclear.

- HMI Manager
  - Displaying preferable information to suitable area (display, position) based on driver’s character, preference, status and driving scene.
  - Flexible display arbitration for consolidated cockpit.

~Difficult to present by Status transition and Matrix~

Flexible arbitration logic is needed as base technology for realizing consolidation cockpit and HMI Manager concept.
Rule Base Arbitration

Legacy technology: Transition matrix

All behavior are defined in one matrix table.

Example: State transition design with table

```
Current

A

ADD

Next required

37x37 = 1369 cells

“Disappear A and Display B”
```

Once A is added, all the relationships with other display contents should be considered.

Problem

Many combination is increased for arbitration matrix, even if only one content is added.

→ Increasing much effort.

New technology: Rule base design

Contents displaying policy are defined as abstracted rule and judge by RBA engine.

Example: Rule based design

```
RBA Engine

Contents A

Contents B

Add

Contents C
```

Once C is added, only define the rule to apply to C.

Expected effort

Even if new content is added, no affect to other content because RBA engine judges the display contents based on defined rule.

→ Saving effort
Rule Base Arbitration - Ex. Screen transition Spec. -

Conventional : State machine

- Difficult to add new content
- Difficult to understand intension or background of specification
- Difficult to define exceptional transition (such transition is described as remark)

Rule based

Contents def. telephone
ETC
TbT
...
Proposal service
Navigation
Audio
Vehicle info
...

Basic Rule

- Higher priority wins between areas
- Later wins inside the area

Exceptional rules

- TbT notification is not displayed while navigation is displayed
- Low prio. contents is not displayed while telephone is displayed

- Easily add new contents
- Simple description
- Easy to understand background or reason of specification
Rule Base Arbitration - What can be defined as a rule -

- **Basic Rules**
  - Area definition (arbitration order, Z-order)
  - Arbitration policy
  - Content
    -> Priority, behavior of arbitration result (cancel, waiting)
  - Models for state transition (TAB screen transition in meter)

- **Exceptional Rules**
  - Constraint formula
    (Logical formula using status of area or contents)
    Logical operators: AND, OR, Implication, Compare, ∀, ∃ and so on.
  - Exception behavior when losing in arbitration
    e.g. Cancel only when losing to specific content (usually waiting).

- **And more**
  - Arbitration of operation rights
  - Animation definition when transition

Please refer “Syntax definition” (which will be provided later) for more details.
Rule Base Arbitration

- The Advantage of Rule based arbitrator

- **For OEM**
  - Intention/background of spec. can be ruled as it is.
    - -> To prevent specs from becoming a dead letter
    - -> To keep simple and high maintainability
  - Can confirm concrete behavior of spec. with simulator/actual hardware
  - Specification can be evaluated comprehensively.

- **For Supplier**
  - To avoid complex software implementation.
    Can reduce bugs by automatic code generation from spec.
  - Can reduce validation cost because spec has validated by OEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Point</th>
<th>Conventional</th>
<th>Rule based</th>
<th>Expected effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spec. def.</td>
<td>Manual creation</td>
<td>Automatic generation by tool</td>
<td>Production quality can be assured in early sample.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule def.</td>
<td>Filling arbitration rule matrix table</td>
<td>Constrains formula</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rule validation</td>
<td>Comprehensive manual testing</td>
<td>Automatic test by tool</td>
<td>Reduce cost for validation/test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Software</td>
<td>Depends on HMI-FW</td>
<td>Independent of HMI-FW, OS</td>
<td>Reduce cost for developing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Rule Base Arbitration - Sample of basic rule def. -

**Contents**

**ViewContent TEL**

```plaintext
loserType: GOOD_LOSER
allocatable: [MM_AREA]
State OUTGOING {
  priority: STANDARD_VALUE
}
State INCOMING {
  priority: STANDARD_VALUE
}
State LIST {
  priority: STANDARD_VALUE
}
sizereference: Centralsize
```

**ViewContent ETC**

```plaintext
loserType: GOOD_LOSER
allocatable: [MM_AREA]
State NORMAL {
  priority: STANDARD_VALUE
}
sizereference: Centralsize
```

**ViewContent VR**

```plaintext
loserType: GOOD_LOSER
allocatable: [MM_AREA]
State NORMAL {
  priority: STANDARD_VALUE
}
sizereference: Centralsize
```

... ...

**Package Displays**

```plaintext
Display ICDISP {
  description: "IC"
sizereference: DisplaySize
CompositeArea ICDISP_Root {
  layout: FixedPositionLayout {
    PositionContainer {
      x: 0
      y: 0
      basePoint: LEFT_TOP
      areaReference: BGarea
    }
    PositionContainer {
      x: 240
      y: 210
      basePoint: LEFT_TOP
      areaReference: MM_AREA
    }
  }
}
```

**Area**

**Area MM_AREA**

```plaintext
description: "MM_INTR"
 arbitrationPolicy: LAST_COME_FIRST
 sizereference: Centralsize
 visibility: > That-of Services-OprAdvisory
 zorder: > That-of Services-OprAdvisory
```

**Area VEHICLE_INTR**

```plaintext
 arbitrationPolicy: PRIORITY_LAST_COME_FIRST
 sizereference: Centralsize
 visibility: > That-of MM_AREA
 zorder: > That-of MM_AREA
```
Rule Base Arbitration - Sample of Exception rule def.

Screen transition spec

Conditions:
- Multimedia and Switch operation displayed on the same area
- Switch operation contents displayed by Switch operation
- Contents group with low and High prio defined in Multimedia interrupt area
- Contents group with low prio: New contents overwrites previous ones.
- Contents group with high prio: High prio contents overwrites low prio ones.

//MM_AREA: New contents basically overwrites old ones. But only Switch operation contents can be displayed during TEL contents displayed.

Constraint TEL with prio in MM_AREA {
  runtime: true
  (Exists MM_INTR_prioH { x | x.isActive() } AND For-All SW_INTR { x | !x.isActive() })
  -> For-All MM_INTR_prioL { x | !x.isVisible() }
}
Need to consider how to connect/combine with existing Window/Sound Mgr of AGL.
Software structure - Rule-based arbitrator structure -

Basic func.
- Decide which contents shows at which area
- Arbitrate contents according to request from apps and scene like power on/off, auto driving, …)
- Notify arbitration result to apps
- The result contains difference from last result
- Synchronized multiple notifications bring no screen flickering

- Arbitration manager:
  - Receive contents request and scene info.
  - Arbitrate contents and notify the result to apps.
  - Notify start/end of arbitration to synchronize with Window manager.
- Arbitration FW:
  Arbitrate contents according to rule def.
- Iarbitrator I/F:
  - Receive contents / scene request.
  - Manage registered apps
- IArbitrationResultListener I/F:
  - Receive arbitration result
- IArbitrationControlListener I/F:
  - Receive start/end of arbitration
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oct</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGL Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denso activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ★ : Important Event
- ▲ : Significant Activity

- SAT Review (F2F) or presentation at AMM
- Spec Dev. (view and model)
- Implementation and Test
- Upstream

- Gap Ana. btw AGL Policy Mgr. and RBA
- Consideration how to connect/combine with Window/Sound Mgr.